(29)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

W.P.(S) No.7424 of 2007

PETITIONERS

1 Muralidhar Khuntia S/o Shri Bhuwaneshwar Ram Khuntia, age about 40 years, Post of – Assistant Teacher, R/o Village Bildegi (Para), Korbabahar, Post Shekharpur, District Jaspur (C.G.)

2. Bedram Painkra, S/o Burhiram Painkra, age about 42 years, Post Assistant Teacher, R/o Village Chandagarh, Tah Pathalgaown, District Jaspur (C.G.)

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1 State Govt. of (C.G.) & Through Secretary, Educational Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, District Raipur (C.G.)

2 District Education Officer, District Jaspur (C.G.)

(Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India)

Appearance:

Shri K.P. Sahu, counsel for the petitioners.

Shri Ajay Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer, for the State on advance copy.

ORAL ORDER
(17.12.2007)

SUNIL KUMAR SINHA, J.

Heard on admission.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the issue involved in the case is as to whether the petitioners are entitled to regular pay scale w.e.f. the date of initial appointment or from the date of completion of probation period. He submits that the issue involved herein was considered by the Madhya Pradesh State Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur in O.A.No.2745/89 (Madhukant Yadu and 56 others Vs. State of M.P. & others), wherein, it was held that the similarly situated teachers are entitled to regular pay scale w.e.f. the date of initial appointment. The matter was taken up to the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No. 6892/93. The said petition was dismissed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court upholding

the decision of the Tribunal to the effect that the Teachers/ Assistant Teachers are entitled to regular pay scale from the date of initial appointment.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that this Court, while considering the same issue in Ram Kumar Sahu & others Vs. State Govt. of Chhattisgarh & another (W.P.(S) No. 813 of 2007) by order dated 12.2.2007, has granted regular pay scale w.e.f. the date of initial appointment.

Learned counsel for the parties jointly submit that this case is squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of Ram Kumar Sahu and another (Supra).

In view of that, this petition is allowed in the same terms.

However, this order shall be subject to all verifications made by the State regarding facts pleaded in the petition.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

Shyna